

# A Parametric Approach to the Estimation of Convex Risk Functionals Based on Wasserstein Distance\*

**Max Nendel**

**Alessandro Sgarabottolo**

*Center for Mathematical Economics, Bielefeld University, Germany*

MAX.NENDEL@UNI-BIELEFELD.DE

ALESSANDRO.SGARABOTTOLO@UNI-BIELEFELD.DE

We study a class of convex risk functionals which arises naturally in the context of mathematical finance and actuarial science when dealing with expected values for a risk factor whose distribution is not perfectly known. Given a random variable  $Y$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  taking values in a separable Hilbert space  $H$  endowed with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , one is usually interested in expressions of the form

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(Y)] = \int_H f(y) \mu(dy),$$

where  $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a, say, continuous loss or payoff function and  $\mu = \mathbb{P} \circ Y^{-1}$  is the distribution of  $Y$ , i.e., a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

However, in many situations, precise knowledge of the underlying distribution  $\mu$  of  $Y$  may not be at hand, and only a rough estimate or an expert opinion suggesting a particular form of reference distribution  $\mu$  may be available. This is a special instance of *model uncertainty* appearing, for example, in the context of catastrophic risk in reinsurance or default risk within large credit portfolios in banking. A standard way to deal with this type of uncertainty is to look at worst case losses among a set of plausible probability distributions. In our study, we follow this approach, and estimate worst case losses over balls around the reference model  $\mu$  in the  $p$ -Wasserstein distance. This leads to an expression of the form

$$R(h)f := \sup_{\mathcal{W}_p(\mu, \nu) \leq h} \int_H f(z) \nu(dz), \quad (1)$$

where  $h > 0$  can be interpreted as a level of uncertainty.

Functionals of the form (1) are widely studied in the context of distributionally robust optimization problems, see, for example, [1, 2, 3]. A standard approach to tackle the infinite-dimensional optimization related to (1) is to look for a suitable dual formulation, for example, by transforming the primal problem into a superhedging problem. We look at this problem from a different angle. The key idea of our approach is to look for a set of parameters  $\Theta$  and a parametric version  $R_{\Theta}(h)$  of the functional (1), which provides a first order approximation of  $R(h)$  as the level of uncertainty  $h$  tends to zero.

As an extension, we introduce an additional mean constraint in the optimization (1). This constraint enters naturally when dealing with risk-neutral pricing, where the mean of the underlying is assumed to be known (e.g., by standard non-arbitrage arguments). Finally, we also impose a so-called martingale constraint on the functional  $R(h)$ . That is, we restrict the optimization to a set of probability measures, which are given in terms of a martingale perturbation of the reference measure or, in different words, measures that admit a martingale coupling with  $\mu$ . This setup is closely intertwined with the topics of martingale optimal transport (MOT) and model-free pricing in mathematical finance. We provide an asymptotic parametrization of the constrained version of (1) through a suitable randomization of the reference measure.

## References

- [1] Daniel Bartl, Samuel Drapeau, and Ludovic Tangpi. Computational aspects of robust optimized certainty equivalents and option pricing. *Math. Finance*, 30(1):287–309, 2020. ISSN 0960-1627. doi:10.1111/mafi.12203. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/mafi.12203>.
- [2] Rui Gao and Anton J. Kleywegt. Distributionally Robust Stochastic Optimization with Wasserstein Distance, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02199>.
- [3] Georg Pflug and David Wozabal. Ambiguity in portfolio selection. *Quant. Finance*, 7(4):435–442, 2007. ISSN 1469-7688. doi:10.1080/14697680701455410. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697680701455410>.

---

\* *Acknowledgments* Financial support through the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – SFB 1283/2 2021 – 317210226 is gratefully acknowledged.