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## Preliminaries

## Definition

Given two events $A$ and $H \neq \varnothing$, the conditional event $A \mid H$ is a three-valued logical entity which is True when $A H$ is true, False when $\bar{A} H$ is true and Void when $\bar{H}$ is true.


Given two events $A$ and $H \neq \varnothing$, the conditional event $A \mid H$ is a three-valued logical entity which is True when $A H$ is true, False when $\bar{A} H$ is true and Void when $\bar{H}$ is true.

Preliminaries
Definition

$$
A \left\lvert\, H=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & A H & \\
0 & \frac{\pi}{H} & x=P(H \mid H) \\
x & H &
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$
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Kleene-de Finetti, Lukasiewicz, Bochvar, Sobocinski
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Given two events $A$ and $H \neq \varnothing$, the conditional event $A \mid H$ is a three -valued logical entity which is True when $A H$ is true, False when $\bar{A} H$ is true and Void when $\bar{H}$ is true.

How to define the conjunction of conditional events? (i)

Definition (McGee 1989, Gilio and Sanfilippo, 2014)
Given two conditional events $A|H, B| K$ and a coherent probability assessment $P(A \mid H)=x$, $P(B \mid K)=y$, the conjunction $(A \mid H) \wedge(B \mid K)$ is defined as the following conditional random quantity

$$
(A \mid H) \wedge(B \mid K)=(A H B K+x \bar{H} B K+y A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)
$$
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eosiciead ardabilistic
properties of conjunction!
$\uparrow$ it's five-valued!

The probabilistic properties are consistent with the results obtained in the field of conditional Boolean algebras (Flaminio, Godo, Hosni 2020; Flaminio, Gilio, Godo, Sanfilippo 2023).

What if we replace $x=P(A \mid H)$ and $y=P(B \mid k)$ with two arbitrary $a, b \in[0,1]$ ?

Definition
Given four events $A, B, H, K$, with $H \neq \varnothing$ and $K \neq \varnothing$, and two values $a, b \in[0,1]$, we define the generalized conjunction w.r.t. $a$ and $b$ of the conditional events $A \mid H$ and $B \mid K$ as the following conditional random quantity

$$
(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)=(A H B K+a \bar{H} B K+b A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A \mid H) \wedge a, b(B \mid K)=(A H B K+a \bar{H} B K+b A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)= \\
& = \begin{cases}1 \text { (win) }, & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is true and } B \mid K \text { is true } \\
0 \text { (lose) }, & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is false or } B \mid K \text { is false, } \\
a \text { (partly win }), & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is true, } \\
b \text { (partly win), } & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is true and } B \mid K \text { is void, } \\
z(\text { called off }), & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is void, }\end{cases} \\
& \text { where } z=\mathbb{P}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\text { where } z=\mathbb{P}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right] \text {. }
$$

$\uparrow$ prevision

## Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds for the conjunction

$$
[\max \{x+y-1,0\}, \min \{x, y\}]
$$

where $x=P(A \mid H)$ and $y=P(B \mid K)$.

## Theorem

Let $A, B, H, K$ be any logically independent events. A prevision assessment $\mathscr{M}=(x, y, z)$ on the family of conditional random quantities $\mathscr{F}=\left\{A|H, B| K,(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right\}$ is coherent if and only if $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ and $z \in\left[z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right]$, where
$z^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}(x+y-1) \cdot \min \left\{\frac{a}{x}, \frac{b}{y}, 1\right\}, \text { if } x+y-1>0 \\ 0, \text { otherwise; }\end{array} \quad z^{\prime \prime}=\max \left\{z_{1}^{\prime \prime}, z_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \min \left\{z_{3}^{\prime \prime}, z_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right\}\right.$, where
$z_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\min \{x, y\}$,

$$
z_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{x(b-a y)+y(a-b x)}{1-x y}, \text { if }(x, y) \neq(1,1), \\
1, \text { if }(x, y)=(1,1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

$z_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{x(1-a)+y(a-x)}{1-x}, \text { if } x \neq 1, \\ 1, \text { if } x=1,\end{array} \quad z_{4}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{x(b-y)+y(1-b)}{1-y}, \text { if } y \neq 1, \\ 1, \text { if } y=1 .\end{array}\right.\right.$
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## Theorem (Convex-Hull of the points $Q_{i}$ )

## Theorem (Convex-Hull of the points $Q_{i}$ )

## CASE $a=x, b=y$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a, b)=(x, y)=(0.6,0.7) \\
& \mathscr{M}^{\prime}=\left(x, y, z^{\prime}\right)=(0.6,0.7,0.3) \\
& \mathscr{M}^{\prime \prime}=\left(x, y, z^{\prime \prime}\right)=(0.6,0.7,0.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Convex-Hull of the points $Q_{i}$ )

## CASE $a \neq x, b+y$



$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) & =(0.9,0.3) \neq(x, y) \\
\mathscr{M}_{a, b}^{\prime} & =\left(x, y, z^{\prime}\right)=(0.6,0.7,0.129) \\
\mathscr{M}_{a, b}^{\prime} & =\left(x, y, z^{\prime \prime}\right)=(0.6,0.7,0.675)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Convex-Hull of the points $Q_{i}$ )
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# What about specific values of $a$ and $b$ ? 

## What about specific values of $a$ and $b$ ?

Can we find already known conjunctions?

## Quasi conjunction: $a=b=1$

## Quasi conjunction: $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=1$

$(A \mid H) \wedge_{1,1}(B \mid K)=(A H B K+\bar{H} B K+A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)=$

$$
\text { if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is true, }
$$

$$
\text { if } A \mid H \text { is true and } B \mid K \text { is void, }
$$

$$
z \text { (called off), if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is void, }
$$

where $z=\mathbb{P}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{1,1}(B \mid K)\right]$.

$$
\text { where } z=\mathbb{P}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{1,1}(B \mid K)\right] \text {. }
$$

(Gilio 2012)
Lower and Upper bounds

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{S}^{\prime}=\max \{x+y-1,0\} \\
z_{S}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{x+y-2 x y}{1-x y}, \text { if }(x, y) \neq(1,1) \\
1, \text { if }(x, y)=(1,1) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Quasi conjunction: $a=b=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A \mid H) \wedge_{1,1}(B \mid K)=(A H B K+\bar{H} B K+A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)= \\
& = \begin{cases}1(\text { win }), & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is true and } B \mid K \text { is true } \\
0(\text { lose }), & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is false } \mid \text { or } B \mid K \text { is false, } \\
1 \text { (win), } & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is true, } \\
1 \text { (win), } & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is true and } B \mid K \text { is void, } \\
z(\text { called off }), & \text { if } A \mid H \text { is void and } B \mid K \text { is void, }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=\mathbb{P}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{1,1}(B \mid K)\right]$.
generalised conjunction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A|H| \wedge(1,1)(B \mid K)=(A H B K+\overline{H B K}+A H \bar{K}) \mid(H \vee K)= \\
& =[(A \vee \bar{H}) \wedge(B \vee \bar{K})] /(H V K) \quad \underbrace{(A \mid H) \wedge_{S}(B \mid K)}_{\text {Sobocinsky conjunction }}= \\
& \\
& \text { (or quasi conjunction) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Imprecise Case



$$
(a, b)=(0.9,0.3)
$$

## Theorem:

Let $A, B, H, K$ be any logically independent events and let $\mathscr{A}=\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \times\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)$ be an interval-valued assessment on $\{A|H, B| K\}$. Then, the interval of coherent extensions of $\mathscr{A}$ to $(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)$ is the interval
$\left[z^{*}, z^{* *}\right]=\left[z^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), z^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right]$, where
$z^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}\left(x_{2}+y_{2}-1\right) \cdot \min \left\{\frac{a}{x_{1}}, \frac{b}{y_{2}} 11\right\}, & \text { if } x_{1}+y_{1}-1>0, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise, }\end{aligned}\right.$
$z^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\max \left\{z_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), z_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \min \left\{z_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), z_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right\}_{1}\right.$
where
where
$z_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\min \left\{x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}_{1} \quad z_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{x_{2}\left(b-a y_{2}\right)+y_{2}\left(a-b x_{2}\right)}{1-x_{2} y_{2}}, & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right) \neq(1,1), \\ 1, & \text { if }\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \neq(1,1),\end{cases}$ $z_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{x_{2}(1-a)+y_{2}\left(a-x_{2}\right)}{1-x_{2}}, & \text { if } x_{2}+1_{1} \\ 1 & , \text { if } x_{2}=1,\end{array} \quad z_{4}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{x_{2}\left(b-y_{2}\right)+y_{2}(1-b)}{1-y_{2}}, & \text { if } y_{2}+1, \\ 1 & \text { if } y_{2}=1 .\end{cases}\right.$

## Imprecise Case: the case $H K=\varnothing$



## Theorem:

Let $A|H, B| K$ two conditional events with $H K=\varnothing$. An interval-valued assessment $\mathscr{A}=\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \times\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)$ on
$\mathscr{F}=\left\{A|H, B| K,(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right\}$ is coherent if and only if $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ and $z \in\left[z^{*}, z^{* *}\right]$, where
$z^{*}=\min \left\{a y_{1}, b x_{1}\right\}$ and $z^{* *}=\max \left\{a y_{2}, b x_{2}\right\}$.

$$
(a, b)=(0.9,0.3)
$$
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|  |  |
|  |  |
| True (1), if | following conditional random quantity |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2mat $X \mid H=X H+\mathbb{P}(X \mid H) \bar{H}$ |  |
| Defnition 1 (Gilio \& Sunflippo 2014) | where $z=\mathbb{P}(A A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)$. |
|  | orem 1 |
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|  |  |
|  | where $(A \mid H) \wedge^{\prime}(B \mid K)$ denotes the conjunction, as in Def.1, w.r.t. $O^{\prime}$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right]$ satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding, that is:$\mathbb{P}^{\prime}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge_{a, b}(B \mid K)\right]=\mathbb{P}^{\prime}\left[(A \mid H) \wedge^{\prime}(B \mid K)\right] \in[\max \{a+b-1,0\}, \min \{a, b\}] .$ |
|  |  |
|  |  <br> Ho coleremenemen <br>  |
|  |  |


 Intepretation $(A \mid H) \Lambda_{a, b}(B \mid K) \stackrel{\text { Dot }}{=}(A H B K+a \bar{H} B K+b A H \bar{K})(H \vee K) \stackrel{\text { Dat }}{=}(A \mid H)^{\prime}(B \mid K)$,
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