Robust possibilistic production planning under temporal demand uncertainty with knowledge on dependencies

> R.Guillaume romain.guillaume@irit.fr



(2)

(3)

(4)

Production planning problem

Our problem can be modeled by the following linear program:

$$\min \sum_{t \in [T]} (c^I I_t + c^B B_t + c^P x_t - b^P s_t)$$
  
s.t.  $B_t - I_t = D_t - X_t$   $t \in [T],$   
 $\sum_{i \in [t]} s_i = D_t - B_t$   $t \in [T],$   
 $B_t, I_t, s_t \ge 0, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T_+$   $t \in [T]$ 

# **Problem formulation**

**Proposition 1** The marginal possibility distribution  $\pi_{D_t}$  is triangular possibility distribution represented by the lower possible value:  $\hat{D}_t - \delta_t^+ \hat{d}_t$ , the most possible value:  $\hat{D}_t$  and the higher possible value:  $\hat{D}_t + \delta_{t+1}^- \hat{d}_{t+1}$ .

the marginal possibility distribution on cost from the marginal possibility distribution on  $D_t$ , where  $D_t^{[\lambda]}$  is the  $\lambda$ -cut of possiblity distribution  $\pi_{D_t}$ .

$$\mathcal{C}_t^{[\lambda]} = [\min_{D_t \in D_t^{[\lambda]}} \mathcal{C}_t, \max_{D_t \in D_t^{[\lambda]}} \mathcal{C}_t] = [\underline{\mathcal{C}}_t^{[\lambda]}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_t^{[\lambda]}]$$

The problem of Robust possibilistic production planning under temporal uncertainty taking into

where  $D_t = \sum_{i \in [t]} d_i$  and  $X_t = \sum_{i \in [t]} x_i$ ,  $D_t$  and  $X_t$  stand for the cumulative demand up to period t and the cumulative production up to period t, respectively.  $I_t$  and  $B_t$  are respectively the inventory and the backordering à period t**Temporal demand uncertainty** let  $\hat{d}_t$  be the forecasting demand at period t and  $\hat{D}_t = \sum_{i \in [t]} \hat{d}_i$  the cumulative forecasting demand. The manager can give for each period  $t \in$ [T] the maximum percent of demand  $\delta_t^- \in [0, 1]$ that can be advanced to the previous period t-1and maximum percent of demand  $\delta_t^+ \in [0,1]$ that can be delayed to the next period t+1. The possibility distribution  $\pi_{\widetilde{D}}$  is defined in terms of  $\lambda - cut$ :  $\mathbf{D}^{[\lambda]} = \{\mathbf{D} | \pi_{\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}} \geq \lambda\}, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$  by the following equation .

 $\mathbf{D}^{[\lambda]}:=\{oldsymbol{D}^{[oldsymbol{\lambda}]}\in\mathbb{R}^T\}$ s.t.  $D_t^{[\lambda]} \ge \hat{D}_t - \beta_t^+ \delta_t^+ \hat{d}_t, \forall t \in [T]$ (a) $D_t^{[\lambda]} \le \hat{D}_t + \beta_{t+1}^- \delta_{t+1}^- \hat{d}_{t+1}, \forall t \in [T]$ (c) $\beta_t^- \leq 1 - \lambda, \forall t \in [T]$ 

(d)

(e)

account dependencies belief is:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{X}} \epsilon$$

$$\max_{\substack{\{\mathcal{C}=\boldsymbol{c}|H_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{c})\geq\epsilon\}\\\boldsymbol{D}\in\boldsymbol{D}^{[0]}}}\sum_{t\in[T]}\mathcal{C}_{t}\leq g$$

# Solving the adversarial problem

First we focus on the problem :



**Theorem 1** The problem 4 can be computed in  $O(T^2)$  times

The main idea of the proof is that the problem can be formulated as longest path problem for graphs with one of the following structures :



### $\beta_t^+ \le 1 - \lambda, \forall t \in [T]$ $\beta_t^+ \delta_t^+ + \beta_t^- \delta_t^- \le 1, \forall t \in [T]$ $\beta_t^-, \beta_t^+ \in [0, 1], \forall t \in [T] \}$

## $\Pi - \mathbf{RO}$

Robust possibilistic optimization maximize the degree of certainty that a solution cost is lower than a given threshold g.

 $\max_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{X}} \quad N(\mathcal{C} \le g)$ 

The necessity measure is computed from the marginal possibility distribution and a function  $H_{\alpha}: [0,1]^T \to [0,1] \text{ (eq.1) where } \alpha \in [0,1] \text{ is the }$ degree of belief that they is negative dependencies, i.e.

- if  $\alpha = 0$  we do not have negative dependencies between uncertainty,
- if  $\alpha = 1$  we know that there is negative

## Illustration on example and experimental results

- We can see that globally the fuzzy robust approach moves the cumulative production to the left to be more robust to temporal uncertainty. Moreover when  $\alpha$  decrease this phenomena increase too. It is due to the fact that when  $\alpha$  decrease it is more possible that the demand of all periods deviated simultaneously. Hence the knowledge on dependencies moderate the conservatism of production.
- we can see that deterministic solution (solution for the nominal demand) can be risked event if we have high level of negatives dependencies ( $\alpha = 1$ ) and naturally the risk increase if the dependencies become more positives.

#### Cumulative production

#### Necessity of $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{Opt}) \leq g$ and $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}^{Opt}) \leq g$

T =





dependencies between uncertainty of each periods.

 $H_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \alpha.W(\boldsymbol{u}) + (1-\alpha)M(\boldsymbol{u}), \alpha \in [0,1] \quad (1)$ with  $W(\boldsymbol{u}) = \max\{\sum_{i=1}^{T} u_i - T + 1, 0\}$  and  $M(\boldsymbol{u}) = \min_{i=1,\ldots,T}(u_i).$ 

The necessity degree to have a vector  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^T$ lower or equal to a given vector **a** is:

 $N(\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{a}) = 1 - H_{\alpha}(\Pi(a_1 < x_1), ..., \Pi(a_T < x_T))$ 

0.0 0.2 1.00.8period

We can observe that when the dependencies ( $\alpha$  parameter) increase the Fuzzy robust (solution for  $\alpha = 0$ ) is less robust than the *alpha Fuzzy robust* hence over estimate the dependencies make lead to less robust solution. It is interested to note that with high dependencies the *Deterministic* solution is more robust than the *Fuzzy robust*.

